

# EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING LANSING BOARD OF WATER & LIGHT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS November 16, 2023 - 5:30 PM 1201 S. Washington Ave., Lansing, MI 48910 REO Town Depot

Executive Committee: Chairperson Semone James, Vice-Chairperson David Price, Past Chairperson Sandra Zerkle, Member Commissioner DeShon Leek

The BWL full meeting packet and public notice/agenda is located on the official web site at https://www.lbwl.com/about-bwl/governance.

#### **AGENDA**

AGENDA					
Call to Order					
Roll Call					
Public Comment					
1. Executive Committee Meeting Minutes March 3, 2022 TAB 1					
2. Review of 360 Board Evaluation					
Other					
Adjourn					

\*A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance.

# EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Meeting Minutes March 3, 2022

The Executive Committee of the Lansing Board of Water and Light (BWL) met at the BWL Headquarters-REO Town Depot located at 1201 S. Washington Ave., Lansing, MI, on Thursday, March 3, 2022.

Executive Committee Chair David Price called the March 3, 2022 Executive Committee meeting to order at 12:00 p.m. and asked the Interim Corporate Secretary Maria Koutsoukos to call the roll.

Present: Commissioners David Price, DeShon Leek, Anthony Mullen and Sandra Zerkle; also present Commissioner Semone James.

Absent: None

The Interim Corporate Secretary declared a quorum.

### **Public Comments**

None.

### **Approval of Minutes**

**Motion** by Commissioner Zerkle, **Seconded** by Commissioner Leek, to approve the Executive Committee meeting minutes of February 25, 2021.

Action: Motion Carried.

### Review Proposed Changes to Governance Policy and Rules of Procedure

The Executive Committee members reviewed the substantive and technical amendments to the Governance Policy and the Rules of Procedure which will be forwarded to the COW Meeting to debate and consider for approval. The technical amendments are format and grammar changes, and the substantive amendments are changes that affect how the Board operates.

The technical and substantive changes were reviewed as to whether the changes were to be voted on as technical or substantive changes. The Interim Corporate Secretary was instructed to prepare for the March 8, 2022 COW meeting one document for the Governance Policy and one document for the Rules of Procedure, color code the technical changes and substantive changes within the documents, and add page numbers. The Interim Corporate Secretary will also provide a copy of the current Governance Policy and Rules of Procedure at the COW meeting.

#### Other

There was no other business.

### **Excused Absence**

None.

# <u>Adjourn</u>

Chairperson Price adjourned the meeting adjourned at 1:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, David Price, Chairperson Executive Committee



# Board Self-Evaluation Questionnaire

A Tool for Improving Governance Practice For Voluntary and Community Organizations

Name_		For period from	to	
	(optional)			







# **Board Self-Evaluation Questionnaire**

A Tool for Improving Governance Practice for Voluntary and Community Organizations

Name	For period from	າ		_to		_
(optional)						
Questions should be answered by all board meson of Sections A, B and C should be compiled, share average group answer to each question and a by board members alone but not shared with completed by the <b>Executive Director or CEO</b> . feedback to the Chair of the Board.	ed and discussed by the an overall section rating the group. Sections A	ne who ng. Sec a, B an	ole boar tion D s d C sho	d to de should k uld also	termine be answ be	e an vered
Circle the response that <b>best</b> reflects your opi Disagree (1); Disagree (2); Maybe or Not Sure ${f A.}$ How Well Has the Board Done Its J	? (3); Agree (4); Strong	•		ement is	s: Strong	gly
1. Our organization has a three to five-year of clear long-range goals and priorities.	strategic plan or a set	1	2	3	4	5
2. The board's meeting agenda clearly reflector or priorities.	cts our strategic plan	1	2	3	4	5
3. The board has insured that the organization year operational or business plan.	on also has a one-	1	2	3	4	5
4. The board gives direction to staff on how primarily by setting or referring to policie	_	1	2	3	4	5
5. The board ensures that the organization's and challenges are communicated to messtakeholders.	•	1	2	3	4	5

© 2005 Non-Profit Sector Leadership Program, Dalhousie University May be freely copied as is or adapted by voluntary organizations for their own use.

6	The board has ensured that members and stakeholders have received reports on how our organization has used its financial and human resources.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	•	1	2	3	4	5
	My overall rating (add together the total of the numbers circled)	<u>:</u>				
	□ Excellent (28+) □ Very Good (20-27) □ Good	(15-19)				
	□ Satisfactory (12-18) □ Poor (7-11)					
<u>B.</u>	How Well Has the Board Conducted Itself?					
	cle the response that <b>best</b> reflects your opinion. The rating scale joins agree (1); Disagree (2); Maybe or Not Sure (3); Agree (4); Strong			ement is	s: Stro	ngly
1.	Board members are aware of what is expected of them.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	The agenda of board meetings is well planned so that we are able to get through all necessary board business.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	It seems like most board members come to meetings prepared.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	We receive written reports to the board in advance of our meetings.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	All board members participate in important board discussions.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	We do a good job encouraging and dealing with different points of view.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	We all support the decisions we make.	1	2	3	4	5
8.	The board has taken responsibility for recruiting new board members.	1	2	3	4	5
9.	The board has planned and led the orientation process for new board members.	1	2	3	4	5
10	. The board has a plan for director education and further board development.	1	2	3	4	5
11	. Our board meetings are always interesting.	1	2	3	4	5
0	. Our board meetings are frequently fun. 2005 Non-Profit Sector Leadership Program, Dalhousie University May be freely copied as is or adapted by voluntary organizations for thei	1 r own us	2 se.	3	4	5 Page 3

My overall rating (add toge	ether the total of the numb	ers circled):				
□ Excellent (28+)	□ VeryGood (20-27)	□ Good (1	5-19)			
□ Satisfactory (12-18)	□ Poor (7-11)					
C. Board's Relationship	with Executive Director	<u>or</u>				
Circle the response that <b>best</b> Disagree (1); Disagree (2); N					ent is: S	trongly
I. There is a clear understandenderstanderstanderstanderstanders I	_	role 1	2	3	4	5
2. There is good two-way co board and the Executive		1	2	3	4	5
3. The board trusts the judg	ment of the Executive Dire	ctor 1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>The Board provides direct setting new policies or c</li></ol>		or by	2	3	4	5
	as communicated the kinds detail it requires from the ening in the organization.		2	3	4	5
6. The board has developed for evaluating the Execu		ess 1	2	3	4	5
7. The board, or a committe evaluated the Executive	e of the board, has formall Director within the past 12	-	2	3	4	5
8. The board evaluates the E accomplishment of the o and priorities and adher	organization's strategic goa		2	3	4	5
9. The board provides feedb to the Executive Directo	• •	tion 1	2	3	4	5
LO. The board ensures that t advantage of professiona	he Executive Director is ab Il development opportunit					

© 2005 Non-Profit Sector Leadership Program, Dalhousie University May be freely copied as is or adapted by voluntary organizations for their own use.

Page 4

My overall rati	ina:
-----------------	------

□ Excellent (28+)	□ Very Good (20-27)	□ Good (15-19)

□ Satisfactory (12-18) □ Poor (7-11)

## D. Performance of Individual Board Members (Not to be shared)

Circle the response that **best** reflects your opinion. The rating scale for each statement is: Strongly Disagree (1); Disagree (2); Maybe or Not Sure (3); Agree (4); Strongly Agree (5).

1. I am aware of what is expected of me as a board member.	1	2	3	4	5
2. I have a good record of meeting attendance.	1	2	3	4	5
3. I read the minutes, reports and other materials in advance of our board meetings.	1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>I am familiar with what is in the organization's by-laws and governing policies.</li></ol>	1	2	3	4	5
5. I frequently encourage other board members to express their opinions at board meetings.	1	2	3	4	5
6. I am encouraged by other board members to express my opinions at board meetings.	1	2	3	4	5
7. I am a good listener at board meetings.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I follow through on things I have said I would do.	1	2	3	4	5
9. I maintain the confidentiality of all board decisions.	1	2	3	4	5
10. When I have a different opinion than the majority, I raise it.	1	2	3	4	5
11. I support board decisions once they are made even if I do not agree with them.	1	2	3	4	5
12. I promote the work of our organization in the community whenever I had a chance to do so.	1	2	3	4	5
13. I stay informed about issues relevant to our mission and bring information to the attention of the board.	1	2	3	4	5

© 2005 Non-Profit Sector Leadership Program, Dalhousie University May be freely copied as is or adapted by voluntary organizations for their own use.

Page 5

My overall rating:			Board	l Self-Ev	aluation	Questic	nnai
□ Excellent (28+)	□ VeryGood (20-27)	□ Good	(15-1	9)			
□ Satisfactory (12-18)	□ Poor (7-11)						
E. Feedback to the Cl	nair of the Board (Optiona	1)					
	<b>est</b> reflects your opinion. The ro ; Maybe or Not Sure (3); Agree	_	-			is: Stro	ngly
1. The board has discusse of the Chair.	d the role and responsibilities		1	2	3	4	5
2. The Chair is well prepa	red for board meetings.		1	2	3	4	5
3. The Chair helps the boa	ard to stick to the agenda.		1	2	3	4	5
4. The Chair ensures that opportunity to be he	every board member has an ard.		1	2	3	4	5
5. The Chair is skilled at m	nanaging different points of		1	2	3	4	5
6. The Chair can be tough out-of-line.	on us as a group when we get		1	2	3	4	5
	o be direct with an individual be	oard	1	2	3	4	5
8. The Chair helps the boo	ard work well together.		1	2	3	4	5
9. The Chair demonstrate	s good listening skills.		1	2	3	4	5
10. The board supports th	ne Chair.		1	2	3	4	5
11. The Chair is effective amongst board memb	in delegating responsibility pers.		1	2	3	4	5
12		_	1	2	3	4	5

### My overall rating:

□ Very Good (20-27) □ Good (15-19) □ Excellent (28+)

□ Satisfactory (12-18) □ Poor (7-11)

© 2005 Non-Profit Sector Leadership Program, Dalhousie University May be freely copied as is or adapted by voluntary organizations for their own use.

### F. Appointees' Evaluation

Circle the response that <b>best</b> reflects your opinion	. The rating scale for each statement is: Strongly
Disagree (1);	Agree (4); Strongly Agree (5).

- 1. There is a clear understanding of where the board's role end's and the Appointee's begins.12345
- 2. There is good two-way communication between the board and the Appointee. 1 2 3 4 5

### My overall rating:

- □ Excellent (28+) □ Very Good (20-27) □ Good (15-19)
- □ Satisfactory (12-18) □ Poor (7-11)

### G. City of Lansing Officials' Evaluation

Circle the response that **best** reflects your opinion. The rating scale for each statement is: Strongly Disagree (1); Disagree (2); Maybe or Not Sure (3); Agree (4); Strongly Agree (5).

- 1. The board ensures that the organization's accomplishmentsand challenges are communicated to stakeholders.12345
- 2. The board has ensured that stakeholders have received reports on how the organization has used its financial resources. 1 2 3 4 5

### My overall rating:

- □ Excellent (28+) □ Very Good (20-27) □ Good (15-19)
- □ Satisfactory (12-18) □ Poor (7-11)